Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/564,504	MICHIDA, TAIZO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	OJIAKO NWUGO	2612
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) OJIAKO NWUGO.	(3)	
(2) Kenneth Berner	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 January 2009.		
Type: a) Z Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.17 and 18.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>NA</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☑ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Agreement on proposed examiner's amendment to claims 1.17 and 18 was</u> reached See affached Examiner's Amendment for detail.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW, (See MPEP Section 7130.) Is reply to the last Office section has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DIATE. OR THE MAIL MOD GATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DIAMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER. TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		